

FY-2008-2009 PROPOSED SCOPE OF WORK for:

Project #: 115

Monitoring effects of Flaming Gorge Dam releases on the Lodore and Whirlpool Canyon fish communities

Lead Agency: Larval Fish Laboratory, CSU; Bureau of Reclamation; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Jointly Submitted by: Larval Fish Laboratory, CSU; Bureau of Reclamation; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Kevin R. Bestgen, Ph.D.
Larval Fish Laboratory
Dept. of Fishery and Wildlife Biology
Colorado State University
Ft Collins, Colorado 80523
970-491-1848/ fax 970-491-5091
kbestgen@cnr.colostate.edu

David Irving
Vernal Colorado River Fish Project
1380 S. 2350 W.
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Vernal, Utah 84078
435-789-0354/ fax 435-789-4805
Dave_Irving@fws.gov

Date: 28 April 2005, 26 Jan. 2006, 23 March 2007, 24 April 2007, 15 October 2008

Category:

- Ongoing project
- Ongoing-revised project
- Requested new project
- Unsolicited proposal

Expected Funding Source:

- Annual funds
- Capital funds
- Other (explain)

I. Title of Proposal:

Monitoring Effects of Flaming Gorge Dam releases on the Lodore/Whirlpool fish community

II. Relationship to RIPRAP:

Green River Action Plan: Mainstem

II.D. Evaluate and revise as needed flow regimes to benefit endangered fish populations.

III. Study Background/Rationale and Hypotheses: In FY01, the Recovery Implementation Program (RIP) revised the RIP Recovery Action Plan to include evaluating and revising, as needed, flow recommendations for the endangered fish throughout the Upper Colorado River Basin. Flaming Gorge Flow and Temperature Recommendations (FGFTR; Muth et

al. 2000) were approved by the RIP in FY01. Long-term implementation of these recommendations is pending; a Record of Decision is expected in 2005.

An expectation of implementation of new flow and temperature recommendations is that native and endangered fishes will benefit via expanded distribution and abundance. It is also possible that new flow and temperature regimes for native endangered fishes may also enhance distribution and abundance of certain nonnative fishes. This is a major concern of managers of the Colorado River in Grand Canyon, where a large population of endangered humpback chub (*Gila cypha*) already exists. There, the decision to enhance the riverine thermal regime to benefit mainstem populations of humpback chub needs to be weighed against potential increases in populations of nonnative fish which may compete with or prey upon native fish, including chubs. Similarly, effects of full implementation of new flow and temperature regimes of the Green River downstream from Flaming Gorge Dam need to be evaluated to determine relative benefits to native and endangered fishes and other non-native elements of the fish community.

An increased emphasis was placed on non-native fish removal beginning in 2005. Expanded populations of non-native fish predators have been detected since 2002 due in part, to warmer water temperatures and lower flows. The proposed non-native fish removal and native fish monitoring study is a logical extension of work conducted by Bestgen and Crist (2000), more recent sampling in 2002 to 2006 (Bestgen et al. 2006, Bestgen et al. 2007). That recent work was conducted to evaluate changes in the fish community that occurred since 1996 in response to partial implementation of new flow recommendations and to expansion and removal of non-native predaceous fishes in the Green River. Aspects of the new flow and temperature recommendations that were realized since 1996 were relatively higher spring peak flows in 1997 and 1999 and low and warm flows in the summer season from 2002 to 2006. A number of changes in the fish community were observed during recent sampling in 2002 to 2006. Those included an expanded population of smallmouth bass *Micropterus dolomieu* in Lodore and Whirlpool canyons, and reproduction by that species in Lodore Canyon, as well as in downstream Whirlpool Canyon. We also detected upstream expansion and increased abundance of red shiner *Cyprinella lutrensis* and channel catfish *Ictalurus punctatus* in several years.

We also made interesting observations of native fishes during the 2002-2006 sampling. Recent sampling and telemetry work (Kitcheyan and Montagne 2005 draft report) during that same time revealed increased use of Lodore Canyon in summer by Colorado pikeminnow *Ptychocheilus lucius*. In 2006, we also detected reproduction by Colorado pikeminnow in Lodore Canyon. Seine sampling in lower Lodore Canyon in summer 2003 captured an early juvenile razorback sucker *Xyrauchen texanus* x white sucker *Catostomus commersoni* hybrid (29 mm TL), which indicated attempted reproduction by razorback suckers there in spring or early summer 2003. We also detected continued presence of humpback chub *Gila cypha* in Whirlpool Canyon in 2002, 2003, and 2004, along with a relatively large population of roundtail chub *Gila robusta*. We also captured (N = 16 scanned for PIT tags) or observed (N = 60) bonytail *Gila elegans* in autumn 2004

in the Green River from the Echo Park boat ramp to downstream about 11 km a short time after their stocking at Echo Park.

An ongoing understanding of shifts in distribution and abundance patterns of non-native predaceous fishes and native/endangered fishes associated with Flaming Gorge operations will provide managers with information necessary to assess effects of full implementation of new flow and temperature recommendations. Of particular interest are continued assessment of recent changes in distribution and abundance of predaceous species such as smallmouth bass and other native fishes. This adds information to a continued management process (along with other ongoing studies downstream) that addresses uncertainties in flow and temperature recommendations that may affect the fish community (Muth et al. 2000).

IV. Study Goals, Objectives, End Product:

Goal: Remove non-native fishes and determine if changes in Green River flow and thermal regimes are associated with changes in distribution and abundance patterns of native and nonnative fishes in Browns Park, Lodore and Whirlpool canyons, and Island-Rainbow Park.

Objective 1. Remove non-native fishes and determine if shifts in distribution and abundance of large-bodied fishes have occurred in Lodore Canyon and Whirlpool Canyon by comparing the results of shoreline electrofishing and trammel net surveys with the results of previous studies, particularly Bestgen and Crist (2000) and results of the 2002-2004 study.

Objective 2. Remove non-native fishes and determine if shifts in the distribution and abundance of small-bodied fishes have occurred in Brown's Park, Lodore and Whirlpool canyons, and Island-Rainbow Park by comparing results of low-velocity, nearshore seining with the results of previous studies, particularly Bestgen and Crist (2000) and results of the 2002 to 2004 studies.

Objective 3. Determine if Colorado pikeminnow spawn in the Green River upstream from the Yampa River confluence by sampling with drift nets in lower Lodore Canyon, and by summer sampling to determine presence of ripe adults. Drift net sampling will be done only occasionally when Green River flows are low and warm (conditions when pikeminnow spawning might be expected) and will be done in conjunction with drift-net sampling in the Yampa River (project 22f).

Objective 4. Analyze hydrological records as recorded by the USGS at their gaging station (09234500) near Greendale, Utah, to compare differences in current and historical operations.

Objective 5. Analyze temperature records of the Green River through Browns Park, Lodore Canyon, and Whirlpool Canyon to compare differences in current and historical operations.

Objective 6. Begin to analyze past otolith samples and those collected in 2007-2009 to understand smallmouth bass spawning periodicity to assist with flow-related management of that species.

Objective 7. Based on results of objectives 1–6, determine physical effects of new operations and subsequent effects on the fish community of the Green River downstream of Flaming Gorge Dam.

End Product: Remove non-native fishes and assessment of effects of non-native fish removal and effects of new flow and temperature regimes based on the fish community response.

V. Study area

In general, the fish community of the Green River will be sampled between the Swinging Bridge in Brown's Park and the lower end of Rainbow Park in Dinosaur National Monument. Additional northern pike sampling in upstream reaches will also be conducted but specific areas dependent on habitat availability. Specific reaches and gear include:

Boat, raft or seine sampling for small-bodied fishes and northern pike: Beginning upstream near Red Creek in Brown's Park and extending downstream through Island-Rainbow Park.

Raft-based electrofishing and trammel-netting: Lodore Canyon: Entire Canyon, which consists of four contiguous, 5-mile reaches and; Whirlpool Canyon: Entire Canyon, which consists of 2 contiguous, 5-mile reaches.

VI. Study Methods/Approach

Sampling methods will be patterned closely after those used in 2002 to 2006 sampling. Data will be collected in a manner that generates catch per unit effort (CPUE) metrics (fish/hour electrofishing, small-bodied fish/m² habitat seined, larval fish/m³ water, fish/hour trammel-netting) with associated variance estimates to enable within-study, and annual comparative statistical analyses. Additional sampling techniques (e.g., angling, hoop nets) will be used on an experimental basis. Flow data collected by USGS and temperature data (G. Smith, U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service) at several of its gaging stations on the Green and Yampa rivers will be used to address Objectives 4 and 5.

Three sampling trips will be conducted each year. Sampling will begin in early to mid-summer and ending in autumn. We envision two sampling trips using electrofishing and a third trip using primarily netting gear. Seine sampling will occur on all trips. The two electrofishing trips will be 5-days in length and utilize a 7-person crew; netting trips will have similar requirements.

Large-bodied fishes; Electrofishing: Two electrofishing rafts will simultaneously sample the left and right shoreline. Each two-person crew (one boat operator and one netter) will collect all fish. Each 5-mile reach will be divided into five contiguous 1-mile sections. At the lower end of each section all fish will be enumerated as an adult or sub-adult (based on pre-determined total length ranges per species) and electrofishing effort will be recorded. Rare fish (T&E species) will be weighed, measured, and PIT-tagged. Thus, mean CPUE/trip/reach will be generated from as many as 10 section samples.

In addition to simple enumeration, all fish will be measured and weighed in two sections (both shorelines) of each reach on each trip to characterize size structure and length/weight relationships. Non-native fishes (except salmonids) will be removed.

Descriptive statistics will be used to describe CPUE, lengths, and weights of fish and appropriate comparisons with previously collected data will be made.

Large-bodied fishes; Trammel netting: Multi-filament trammel nets (23m x 1.8m; 25-cm outer mesh; 2.5-cm inner mesh) will be set at locations in Lodore and Whirlpool canyons with a main goal of sampling chubs in the genus *Gila*. Trammel nets collect a variety of species, but have been used in other studies as a primary gear type to collect native chubs in canyon-bound reaches of the Green (Chart and Lentsch 1999) and Colorado Rivers (Chart and Lentsch 2000, Valdez and Ryel 1995, McAda 2000). Trammel nets will be fished during crepuscular and nighttime hours at sites in Lodore and Whirlpool canyons. Nets will be set in low velocity habitats and along eddy lines. The number of nets set will be contingent on habitat availability and accessibility. Nets will be checked every 2 hours.

All fish will be measured, weighed, and tagged as necessary. Dorsal and anal fin rays will be enumerated from all chubs collected. Any suspected humpback chub will be photographed, primarily for the purpose of acquainting other researchers with the chubs found in Whirlpool Canyon. Appropriate morphometric measurements (as identified in Douglas et al. 1998) will be collected. Descriptive statistics will be used to describe CPUE, lengths, and weights of fish and appropriate comparisons with data previously collected will be made.

Large-bodied fishes; other gear types: In addition to electrofishing and trammel netting, other sampling techniques such as angling and trap nets may be employed to evaluate their efficiency. Angling will also be used to supplement total numbers of adult Colorado pikeminnow collected and marked for movement and length/weight analyses.

Small-bodied fishes; Seining: The purpose of this sampling will be to track shifts in distribution and abundance of the small-bodied nonnative (red shiner, sand shiner, fathead minnow) and native (speckled dace) cyprinids, and YOY of all other species. We will sample mostly backwaters, eddies, and shorelines; other habitat types (e.g., riffles) will be sampled as needed to detect species of interest. Two or more seine hauls will be taken in each sampled habitat and each seine haul will represent a sample. Physical measurements including area seined and habitat area will be gathered to quantify habitat dimensions and calculate CPUE. Seines used in this study will conform with the ISMP- recommended gear type. Readily identified endangered species will be measured and released alive. Other fish will be preserved in 10% buffered formalin and processed at CSU/LFL.

We will also begin to conduct analyses to understand timing and intensity of smallmouth bass reproduction in the Green River. This will be accomplished by analyzing otolith daily increments of smallmouth bass collected and preserved in ethanol during past years (2002-2006 as available) and in 2007-2009. This will require analysis of several years of samples to understand effects of different flow and temperature regimes on timing and intensity of spawning. This analysis will

begin in 2007 and results will be available as data are collected to understand the need for additional analyses.

Northern pike habitat reconnaissance and sampling: This item was added at the request of the Program Director’s office because northern pike reproduction was detected in autumn 2005 in Browns Park. The first facet of this investigation assessed habitat available for northern pike in Browns Park (from near Beaver Creek downstream of Flaming Gorge Dam downstream to Lodore boat ramp). We will continue to assess areas where northern pike are concentrated by sampling areas where age-0 pike have been captured in the past. In 2006, we concentrated on nearshore areas and in 2007 we will assess nearshore and main channel habitat for presence of age-0 and adult northern pike. In 2006, we also captured smallmouth bass in Browns Park at two locations (Bestgen et al. 2007). Browns Park sampling would also be used to assess if smallmouth bass populations are expanding or remain isolated. Continued sampling into 2008-2009 is planned as needed to monitor if populations are expanding or maintaining at low levels. We think about a week of intensive sampling would be sufficient to survey most of the available areas. Timing of sampling would also be dependent on the above-referenced information.

VII. Task Description and Schedule

Task 1: remove non-native fishes and sample main-channel fish community (large-bodied fishes)

Task 2: remove non-native fishes and sample small-bodied fish community, with pike sampling and habitat assessment in Browns Park

Task 3: process preserved samples of small-bodied fish and conduct otolith analyses (fish from seine samples)

Task 4: prepare and submit annual report

Schedule: FY-2008 (Tasks 1-4)

Task	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
1	x								x	x	x	x
2								x	x	x	x	x
3	x	x	x	x	x	x					x	x
4	x	x										

Schedule: FY-2009

Task	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
1	x								x	x	x	x
2								x	x	x	x	x
3	x	x	x	x	x	x					x	x
4	x	x										

VIII. FY-2008 Work (Tasks 1-4): Sampling, sample processing, and annual reporting.

– Deliverables/Due Dates: Annual Reports of field activities due to PD’s office November 2008 and November 2009.

– Budget: 2008

Larval Fish Lab and USBR Fish Community Monitoring Trip Costs and Reporting (Tasks 1-4): four trips total, one in each of June/July, August, and September (5-day trip plus two-days of gear and trip preparation per trip) plus the additional pike habitat and sampling assessment in Browns Park, to address: Task 1 (monitor large-bodied fish), Task 2 (monitor small-bodied fish with seines, plus pike sampling and habitat assessment) and Task 3 (process seine samples and conduct otolith analyses). Fringe benefits are 20.8% of the total amount of salaries. LFL overhead rate is 15% under USBR Cooperative Agreement and is charged to all items except equipment in excess of \$5,000. Fringe and overhead are figured into the per day costs for LFL items.

Quantity (d)	Description	Unit cost/d	LFL	BR		Total
Personnel salaries						
21	Biologist (LFL), field sampling (tasks 1-3)	\$450	9450			9450
10	Biologist (LFL), report preparation (task 4)	\$450	4500			4500
112	4 LFL Technicians (4 trips x 7 trip days total x 4, tasks 1- 3)	\$190	21280			21280
15	1 LFL Technician, report preparation (task 4)	\$190	2850			2850
5	Biologist, USBR	\$ 420		2100		2100
Subtotal personnel			38080	0	0	40180
Trip expenses						
160 pers days	per diem	\$17/day	2,720			2720
LFL miles	900 x 4 trips = 3,600	0.37/mile	1,332			1332
12	vehicle shuttles (3 trips x 4)	\$75/vehicle	900			900
	sampling (Whirlpaks®, preservatives, etc)		350			350
	camp/ sampling gear		600			600
	generator / boat gas/trailer		50			50
Subtotal supplies			5,952	0		5,952
Sample processing						
120	seine hauls (1 sample/haul) plus conduct otolith analysis of specimens from past and 2008 samples	\$75/sample	17,500			17500
Fish Community Monitoring trips			61,532			63,632
Equipment						

	Misc equipment (dipnets, measuring boards, electronic balances, etc)	Various	259			800
1	Trammel nets	\$200	200			600
2	Seines	\$60	120			180
Subtotal equipment			579			1580
Fish Comm. Monitor. FY08 Totals			62111	2,100	0	64,211

USFWS, Vernal Fish Community Monitoring Trip Costs and Reporting (Tasks 1-3) : three trips total, one in each of June/July, August, and September (5-day trip plus two-days of gear and trip preparation per trip), to address: Task 1 (monitor large-bodied fish), Task 2 (monitor small-bodied fish with seines) and Task 3 (process seine samples).

Task Activity	Cost
Task 1-3 Sample Fish Population	
Labor	
GS-11 Biologist (\$36.67/hr x 8 hrs/day x 5 days/trip x 3 trips) + (\$55.00/hr x 2 hrs OT/day x 5 days/trip x 3 trips)	\$6,050
GS-11 Biologist Trip Prep (\$36.67/hr x 8 hrs/day x 3 days)	\$880
GS-8 Fisheries Tech (\$28.29/hr x 8 hrs/day x 5 days/trip x 3 trips) + (\$42.44/hr x 2 hrs OT/day x 5 days/trip x 3 trips)	\$4,668
GS-8 Fisheries Tech Trip Prep (\$28.29/hr x 8 hrs/day x 6 days)	\$1,358
GS-5 Tech (\$20.56/hr x 8 hrs/day x 5 days/trip x 3 trips) + (\$30.84/hr x 2 hrs OT/day x 5 days/trip x 3 trips)	\$3,392
GS-5 Tech Trip Prep (\$20.56/hr x 8 hrs/day x 9.58 days)	\$1575
Subtotal	\$17,923
Travel, Boat gas, Equipment, etc.	
(2 trucks/trip x 173 mi/truck x \$0.405/mi x 3 trips) Vernal to Lodore round trip	\$420
(8 gal gas/boat x 2 boats/trip x \$2.50/gal x 3 trips)	\$120
(2 qts motor boat oil/boat x 2 boats/trip x \$2.75/qt x 3 trips)	\$33
Maintenance and replacement of rafting gear, sampling nets, electrofishing gear, etc.	\$1,693
Subtotal	\$2,266
Task 1-3 Total	\$20,189

FY2008 Budget Summary: condenses tables above

Description	LFL	BR	USFWS	Total
Fish Comm. Monitor. + report (Tasks 1-4)	62111	21 00	20189	84400

FY2008 TOTAL	62111	2,100	20189	84,400
---------------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	---------------

– Budget: 2009

Larval Fish Lab and USBR Fish Community Monitoring Trip Costs and Reporting (Tasks 1-4): four trips total, one in each of June/July, August, and September (5-day trip plus two-days of gear and trip preparation per trip) plus the additional pike habitat and sampling assessment in Browns Park, to address: Task 1 (monitor large-bodied fish), Task 2 (monitor small-bodied fish with seines, plus pike sampling and habitat assessment) and Task 3 (process seine samples and conduct otolith analyses). Fringe benefits are 20.8% of the total amount of salaries. LFL overhead rate is 15% under USBR Cooperative Agreement and is charged to all items except equipment in excess of \$5,000. Fringe and overhead are figured into the per day costs for LFL items.

Quantity (d)	Description	Unit cost/d	LFL	BR		Total
Personnel salaries						
21	Biologist (LFL), field sampling (tasks 1-3)	\$450	9450			9450
10	Biologist (LFL), report preparation (task 4)	\$450	4500			4500
112	4 LFL Technicians (4 trips x 7 trip days total x 4, tasks 1- 3)	\$190	21280			21280
15	1 LFL Technician, report preparation (task 4)	\$190	2850			2850
5	Biologist, USBR	\$ 420		2100		2100
Subtotal personnel			38080	0	0	40180
Trip expenses						
160 pers days	per diem	\$17/day	2,720			2720
LFL miles	1000 x 4 trips = 4000	0.45/mile	1,800			1800
	sampling (Whirlpaks®, preservatives, etc)					
	camp/ sampling gear					
	generator / boat gas/trailer		50			50
Subtotal supplies			4570	0		4570
Sample processing						
120	seine hauls (1 sample/haul) plus conduct otolith analysis of specimens from past and 2009 samples	\$75/sample	17,500			17500

Fish Community Monitoring trips		60,150			62,250
Equipment					
	Misc equipment (dipnets, measuring boards, electronic balances, etc)	Various	372		800
1	computer	\$1850	1850		1850
3	Trammel nets	\$200	600		600
2	Seines	\$60	120		180
Subtotal equipment			2942		2942
Fish Comm. Monitor. FY09 Totals			63092	2,100	0 65,192

USFWS, Vernal Fish Community Monitoring Trip Costs and Reporting (Tasks 1-3) : three trips total, one in each of June/July, August, and September (5-day trip plus two-days of gear and trip preparation per trip), to address: Task 1 (monitor large-bodied fish), Task 2 (monitor small-bodied fish with seines) and Task 3 (process seine samples).

Task Activity	Cost
Task 1-3 Sample Fish Population	
Labor	
GS-11 Biologist (\$36.67/hr x 8 hrs/day x 5 days/trip x 3 trips) + (\$55.00/hr x 2 hrs OT/day x 5 days/trip x 3 trips)	\$6,050
GS-11 Biologist Trip Prep (\$36.67/hr x 8 hrs/day x 3 days)	\$880
GS-8 Fisheries Tech (\$28.29/hr x 8 hrs/day x 5 days/trip x 3 trips) + (\$42.44/hr x 2 hrs OT/day x 5 days/trip x 3 trips)	\$4,668
GS-8 Fisheries Tech Trip Prep (\$28.29/hr x 8 hrs/day x 6 days)	\$1,358
GS-5 Tech (\$20.56/hr x 8 hrs/day x 5 days/trip x 3 trips) + (\$30.84/hr x 2 hrs OT/day x 5 days/trip x 3 trips)	\$3,392
GS-5 Tech Trip Prep (\$20.56/hr x 8 hrs/day x 9.58 days)	\$1575
Subtotal	\$17,923
Travel, Boat gas, Equipment, etc.	
(2 trucks/trip x 173 mi/truck x \$0.48/mi x 3 trips) Vernal to Lodore round trip	\$498
(8 gal gas/boat x 2 boats/trip x \$3.50/gal x 3 trips)	\$168
(2 qts motor boat oil/boat x 2 boats/trip x \$2.75/qt x 3 trips)	\$33
Maintenance and replacement of rafting gear, sampling nets, electrofishing gear, etc.	\$1,993
Vehicle shuttle 3 tripsx5vehiclesx\$75/vehicle	1125
Subtotal	\$3,817
Task 1-3 Total	\$21,740

FY2009 Budget Summary: condenses tables above

Description	LFL	BR	USFWS	Total
Fish Comm. Monitor. + report (Tasks 1-4)	63092	2100	21740	86932
FY2009 TOTAL	63092	2,100	21740	86932

– Deliverables/Due dates: Annual Report of FY08 field activities due to PD’s office November 2008.

IX. Budget Summary

FY-2008 \$84,400
 FY-2009 \$86,932
 Total: \$171,332

X. Reviewers

Doug Osmundson, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Grand Junction, CO
 Kirk LaGory, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL
 Rich Valdez, SWCA, Flagstaff, AZ

XI. References

Bestgen, K.R. and L.W. Crist. 2000. Response of the Green River fish community to construction and re-regulation of Flaming Forge Dam, 1962–1996. Final Report of Colorado State University Larval Fish Laboratory to Upper Colorado River Endangered Fish Recovery Program, Denver, Colorado

Bestgen, K.R., R.T. Muth, and M.A. Trammell. 1998. Downstream transport of Colorado squawfish larvae in the Green River drainage: temporal and spatial variation in abundance and relationships with juvenile recruitment. Final Report of Colorado State University Larval Fish Laboratory to Upper Colorado River Endangered Fish Recovery Program, Denver, Colorado.

Bestgen, K. R., K. A. Zelasko, and C. T. Wilcox. 2007. Non-native fish removal in the Green River, Lodore and Whirlpool canyons, 2002-2006, and fish community response to altered flow and temperature regimes, and non-native fish expansion. Final report to the Recovery Implementation Program for Endangered Fishes in the Upper Colorado River Basin. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Denver, CO. Larval Fish Laboratory Contribution 149.

Bestgen, K. R., R. A. Valdez, and A. M. Widmer. 2007. Research Framework for the Upper Colorado River Basin: Phase I. Draft report submitted to the Recovery Implementation Program for Endangered Fishes in the Upper Colorado River Basin. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Denver, CO.

Bestgen, K. R., K. A. Zelasko, R. I. Compton, and T. Chart. 2006. Response of the Green River fish community to changes in flow and temperature regimes from Flaming Gorge Dam since 1996 based on sampling conducted from 2002 to 2004. Final report submitted to the Biology Committee, Upper Colorado Endangered Fish Recovery Program.

- Carron, J.C. 2000. Simulation and optimization of unsteady flow and water temperature in reservoir regulated rivers. Ph.D. Dissertation. University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 147pp.
- Chart, T.E. and L.D. Lentsch. 1999. Flow effects on humpback chub (*Gila cypha*) in Westwater Canyon. Final Report of Utah Division of Wildlife Resources to Upper Colorado River Endangered Fish Recovery Program, Denver, Colorado.
- Chart, T.E. and L.D. Lentsch. 2000. Reproduction and recruitment of *Gila* spp. and Colorado pikeminnow (*Ptychocheilus lucius*) in the Middle Green River 1992–1996. Report C in Flaming Gorge Studies: reproduction and recruitment of *Gila* spp. and Colorado pikeminnow (*Ptychocheilus lucius*) in the Middle Green River. Final Report of Utah Division of Wildlife Resources to Upper Colorado River Endangered Fish Recovery Program, Denver, Colorado.
- Douglas, M.E., R.R. Miller, and W.L. Minckley. 1998. Multivariate discrimination of Colorado plateau *Gila* spp.: “the art of seeing well” revisited. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 127: 163–173.
- Douglas, M.E., W.L. Minckley, and H.M. Tyus. 1989. Qualitative characters, identification of Colorado River chubs (Cyprinidae: genus *Gila*) and the “art of seeing well.” Copeia 1989: 653–662.
- Holden, P.B. and L.W. Crist. 1981. Documentation of changes in the macroinvertebrate and fish populations in the Green River due to inlet modification of Flaming Gorge Dam. Final Report PR-16-5 of BIO/WEST, Inc., Logan, Utah.
- McAda, C.W. 2000. Interagency Standardized Monitoring Program - Population estimate of humpback chub in Black Rocks. FY-00 Annual Report to Colorado River Recovery Program, Project Number: 22-A-3.
- Muth, R.T., L.W. Crist, K.E. LaGory, J.W. Hayse, K.R. Bestgen, T.P. Ryan, J.K. Lyons, R.A. Valdez. 2000. Flow and Temperature Recommendations for Endangered Fishes in the Green River Downstream of Flaming Gorge Dam. Upper Colorado River Endangered Fish Recovery Program, Project FG-53. Final Report
- Tyus, H.M., and C.A. Karp. 1991. Habitat use and streamflow needs of rare and endangered fishes, Green River, Utah. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Vernal, Utah.
- Valdez, R.A. and R.J. Ryel. 1995. Life history and ecology of the humpback chub (*Gila cypha*) in the Colorado River, Grand Canyon, Arizona. Final Report to Bureau of Reclamation, Salt Lake City, Utah. Contract No. 0-CS-40-09110. BIO/WEST Report No TR-250-08.
- Vanicek, C.D., R.H. Kramer, and D.R. Franklin. 1970. Distribution of Green River fishes in Utah and Colorado following closure of Flaming Gorge Dam. Southwestern Naturalist 14:297–315.