I. Project Title:


II. Principal Investigators:
Kathy Holley (Coordinator)
U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
764 Horizon Drive, Ste. 228
Grand Junction, CO 81506
(970) 255-9007
FAX (970) 243-4538
Internet e-mail address:
Kathy_Holley@FWS.GOV

Kevin J. Buhl and Steven J. Hamilton (PI’s)
U.S. Geological Survey
Environmental and Contaminants
Yankton Field Research Station
31247 436th Avenue
Yankton, SD 57078-6364
(605) 665-9217
FAX (605) 665-9335
Internet e-mail address:
Steve_Hamilton@USGS.GOV
Kevin_Buhl@USGS.GOV

III. Project Summary:
The purpose of this project, and a concurrent one at Adobe Creek-Phase I, is to determine if water quality (i.e., problems with selenium and other inorganic contaminants) at restored sites will be conducive to supporting razorback sucker during their various life stages. The objectives are: (1) to determine if concentrations of selenium and other inorganic contaminants that are bioaccumulated by razorback sucker adults placed in restored backwater habitat have an adverse affect on reproductive success, (2) to determine survival, growth and bioaccumulation of selenium in razorback sucker larvae that are placed into restored backwater habitats, and (3) (Remediation Phase) to determine the flows necessary to reduce elevated concentrations of selenium to acceptable concentrations in water and food organisms and still maintain habitat that is attractive to and suitable for endangered fish.

IV. Study Schedule:
Initial Year: 1994
Final Year: 1999 (Remediation Phase)

V. Relationship to RIPRAP:
Colorado River Action Plan: Mainstem
II.A. To restore and manage flooded bottomland habitat.
II.A.2. Adobe Creek
II.A.3. Walter Walker
II.A.3.e. Monitor and evaluate success; modify as needed.
II.C. Support actions to reduce or eliminate contaminant impacts of heavy metals and selenium in the Grand Valley.

VI. Accomplishment of FY 99 Tasks and Deliverables, Discussion of Initial Findings and Shortcomings:
Reports are overdue. The ‘95-96 reproduction study report has been reviewed in-house by USGS. Outside Peer Reviewers have not been chosen.

VII. Recommendations: To reduce the rate of sedimentation into the channel area, we recommend closing the inlet valve during high flow periods. River water will continue to back in from the lower end of the channel area and allow access by the endangered fish. The inlet valve will be opened during periods when the river is not carrying heavy silt loads.

VIII. Project Status:
Reports are overdue. The draft ‘95-96 report is expected to be sent out for Peer Review in late December ‘99 or early January ‘00.

IX. FY 99 Budget:
A. Funds Provided: $46,000.
B. Funds Expended: $46,000.
C. Difference: $ 0
D. Percent of the FY 99 work completed: Unknown
E. Recovery Program funds spent for publication charges: $ 0

X. Status of Data Submission (where applicable): Not applicable.

XI. Signed: Kathy Holley 12-8-99
Project Manager Date