INTERAGENCY STANDARDIZED MONITORING PROGRAM (ISMP) ASSESSMENT OF ENDANGERED FISH REPRODUCTION IN RELATION TO FLAMING GORGE OPERATIONS IN THE MIDDLE GREEN AND LOWER YAMPA RIVERS.
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III. Project Summary: The goal of the recently approved Flaming Gorge flow and temperature recommendations (Muth et al., 2000) was to improve the status and prospects for recovery of endangered fish populations in the Green River. A major emphasis of those recommendations was to enhance the reproductive and recruitment success of endangered fishes in the middle Green River, in particular razorback sucker and Colorado pikeminnow. The primary means to achieve enhanced populations will be to pattern flows after a more natural hydrograph, the timing and duration of which will be based on anticipated annual hydrologic conditions and the biology of the fish. Because of vagaries in timing and runoff patterns within and among various hydrologic scenarios, and uncertainties in anticipated effects of flow and temperature recommendations on endangered fishes, Muth et al. (2000) suggested that real-time data be gathered to guide and fine tune operation of Flaming Gorge dam each year. Two existing studies that have provided data to guide operations of Flaming Gorge Dam in the past are "Basin-wide Monitoring Program for Razorback Sucker" (Project 22C) and "Interagency Standardized Monitoring Program (ISMP) Assessment of Colorado Pikeminnow Reproduction and Larval Abundance in the Lower Yampa River, Colorado" (Project 22f). This proposal, which is an extension of portions of those existing studies, is intended to provide some of the necessary real-time data.

Larvae of razorback sucker *Xyrauchen texanus* and Colorado pikeminnow *Ptychocheilus lucius* were captured in the Green River basin in spring and summer 2007. Razorback sucker sampling was conducted with light traps primarily in the Green River between
Jensen and Ouray and Colorado pikeminnow sampling was with drift nets in the lower Yampa River. Sampling was designed to provide a measure of timing of reproduction and a measure of annual reproductive success of each species. Diel variation in abundance of Colorado pikeminnow larvae in the drift was also assessed. This data will be used to assess effects of flow and temperature regimes on reproduction by razorback suckers and Colorado pikeminnow and to correlate abundance of larvae to abundance of juveniles in autumn.

IV. Study Schedule: It is anticipated that this study will continue and will be a component of studies designed to evaluate operations of Flaming Gorge Reservoir.

V. Relationship to RIPRAP: Reproduction and recruitment of early life stages are critical components of the life history of endangered razorback sucker and Colorado pikeminnow. Understanding trends in reproductive success may help define status of razorback sucker and Colorado pikeminnow in specific river reaches in the Colorado River Basin and should play a role in determining when recovery has been achieved.

Relationship to specific RIPRAP items:

Green River Action Plan: Mainstem
I. Provide and protect instream flows--habitat management.
   I.A. Green River above Duchesne River.
   I.A.1. Initially identify year-round flows needed for recovery while providing experimental flows.
   I.A.2.a. Summer/fall flow recommendations.
   I.A.3. Deliver identified flows.
   I.A.3.a. Operate Flaming Gorge pursuant to the Biological Opinion to provide summer and fall flows.
   I.A.3.d. Operate Flaming Gorge Dam to provide winter and spring flows and revised summer/fall flows, if necessary.
   I.B. Green River below the Duchesne River.
   I.B.1. Initially identify year-round flows needed for recovery while providing experimental flows.
   I.B.2. State acceptance of initial flow recommendations.
   I.B.2.a. Review scientific basis.
   II. Restore habitat--habitat development and maintenance.
   II.A. Restore and manage flooded bottomland habitat.
   II.A.1. Conduct site restoration.
   II.A.1.a.(3) Monitor and evaluate success.
   II.C. Enhance water temperatures to benefit endangered fishes.
   II.C.1. Identify options to release warmer water from Flaming Gorge Reservoir to restore native fish habitat in the Green River.
V. Monitor populations and habitat and conduct research to support recovery actions--research, monitoring, and data management.
   V.A. Conduct research to acquire life history information and enhance scientific techniques required to complete recovery actions.
Green River Action Plan: Yampa and Little Snake Rivers
I. Provide and protect instream flows--habitat management.
   I.D. Yampa River below Little Snake River.
   I.D.1. Initially identify year-round flows needed for recovery.
   I.D.2. Evaluate need for instream flow water rights.
   I.D.2.a. Review scientific basis.

Green River Action Plan: Yampa and Little Snake Rivers
V.A.1. Conduct standardized monitoring.
V.B.2. Conduct appropriate studies to provide needed life history information.

VI. Accomplishment of FY 2008 Tasks and Deliverables, Discussion of Initial Findings and Shortcomings:

Project Objectives

1). To determine timing and duration of spawning by razorback suckers and presence and abundance of larvae in the system as measured by capture of larvae in light traps.

2). To determine timing and duration of spawning by Colorado pikeminnow and presence and abundance of larvae in the system as measured by capture of larvae downstream of spawning areas in the lower Yampa River.

Task Description (FY 2008)

I). Collect light trap samples for razorback suckers. The CRFP office in Vernal will be responsible for this task.

II). Collect drift net samples for Colorado pikeminnow. The Larval Fish Laboratory will be responsible for this task.

III). Identify light trap and drift net samples. Preliminary identifications will be conducted by the responsible sampling entity, with assistance from the LFL, as samples are collected to provide real-time data. Final specimen identification and curation will be conducted by the LFL.

IV). Summarize specimen data collection in an annual report.

Accomplishments by Task.

I). Collect light trap samples for razorback suckers. Light trap samples were collected during May and June 2008 by the Vernal CRFP.

II). Collect drift net samples for Colorado pikeminnow. Drift net samples were collected during June to August 2008 by the Larval Fish Laboratory.

III). Identify light trap and drift net samples. Preliminary identification is beginning.
**Middle Green River light trap samples.** Samples sent to the Larval Fish Laboratory have not yet been sent to the Larval Fish Laboratory.

**Lower Yampa River drift net sampling.** Samples were collected in the Yampa River about 0.2 to 0.8 km upstream from the Green River, the same site that samples were collected from 1990 to 1996 (Bestgen et al. 1998) and in 1998 to 2006. The 2007 samples have been identified and verification will be completed in early 2008.

**2004-2005 light trap sampling data.** A total of 1,092 razorback sucker larvae were captured in light traps in the Green River in 2004 (Figure 1). This is a dramatic increase in abundance over recent years. For example, a total of 47 razorback sucker larvae were captured in light traps in 2003 and an additional 4 were captured in seine hauls (including several specimens classified as razorback sucker?). Light traps sample captures of razorback suckers in other recent years include 94 in 2002, 92 in 2001, and 82 in 2000.

In 2004, a release of marked razorback sucker larvae (N = 69,688) was made associated with pilot sampling to examine entrainment of larvae and beads into floodplain wetlands. Thus, some 2004 larvae captured were likely released individuals. However, as many as 326 larvae were captured on or before 25 May 2004 (Figure 2). Additionally, drift sampling for entrainment studies also captured 232 wild razorback sucker larvae on the release day, indicating large numbers of wild larvae in the system. An additional 463 larvae were captured in light trap samples from 26 to 28 May 2004. Peaks in abundance of razorback sucker larvae were noted on 25 May, 27-28 May, and 2-4 June. Mean length of larvae remained relatively similar across the sampling season at about 11 to 12-mm TL (Figure 3).

A total of 475 razorback sucker larvae were captured in 2005 compared with 1,092 razorback sucker larvae captured in light traps in the Green River in 2004 (Figure 4). The smaller number captured in 2005 may be due to presence of higher and more prolonged flows present in the Green River Basin, in part due to floodplain wetland entrainment studies. The 2005 sampling results yielded many more fish than the 51 razorback sucker captured in 2003.

Similar to 2004, in 2005 several releases of marked razorback sucker larvae were made associated with sampling to examine entrainment of larvae and beads into floodplain wetlands. Thus, some 2005 larvae captured were likely released individuals. Peaks in abundance of razorback sucker larvae were noted on 25 May, 1-2 June, 7-8 June, 14 to 16 June, and 21 to 22 June. Mean length of larvae remained relatively similar across the sampling season at about 11-mm TL, although not all larvae have been measured yet (Figure 5).

It is unknown how many of the razorback sucker larvae captured in 2004 and 2005 were ones that were marked and released associated with floodplain entrainment studies. All larvae should be examined for marks so that capture
rates of wild larvae can be estimated and compared with historical sampling. A tentative conclusion from this sampling is that hatchery-produced razorback suckers stocked into the Green River must be spawning. This is based on a population of wild adults that should be very low or non-existent by this time (Bestgen et al. 2002).

2006 light trap sampling data. The 2006 samples (n = 155) were identified and verified. Sampling began 4 May and ended 16 June. Identification of all samples was completed in early 2007 and results are reported now. A total of 555 razorback sucker larvae was captured in 2006. The first razorback sucker larvae captured were on 19 May at Cliff Creek (n=2) and the last were captured on 16 June at Old Charley Wash (Fig. 6). Peaks in number of fish captured were on 24 and 31 May and 7 June, but relatively large numbers of fish were present in sampled backwaters from about 23 May through 9 June 2006. The time period when relatively large numbers of razorback sucker larvae were available for capture in backwaters with light traps bracketed pre-peak flows (peak on 25 May). Average length of razorback sucker larvae (mean = 11.4, 9.2-18) was consistent in the early portion of the year, and increased slightly after 6 June 2006 (Fig. 7). The 19 May fish were wild larvae because the first hatchery-reared larvae released for the entrainment study was on 21 May 2006. Many of the larvae captured after 21 May are doubtless some of the hatchery-reared ones. All larvae captured in this study will be examined for tetracycline marks so we can discern hatchery-released larvae associated with entrainment studies from wild-hatched larvae. The continuing relatively high levels of razorback sucker larvae captured over the last three years (2004-2006) is encouraging. Preliminary results of 2007 sampling indicated relatively large numbers of larvae as well. This is significant because no hatchery-reared larvae were released in 2007, compared to 2004-2006, when relatively large numbers of larvae were released.

2007 light trap sampling data. A total of 2,188 razorback sucker larvae (includes fish of questionable identity) were captured in 2007. This is the largest number of razorback sucker larvae captured since this sampling began in 1992.

2004 Yampa River drift net sampling data. A total of 322 Colorado pikeminnow larvae were captured in 2004 (Figure 8). This is an increase over 2003, when only 87 Colorado pikeminnow larvae were captured in drift nets in 2003, which represented the lowest total since 1990, with the exception of 1995. A single relatively large peak of larvae was captured 22 to 23 July 2004; captures were low at other times (Figure 9). Mean TL of Colorado pikeminnow larvae captured was constant over the summer period at about 9 mm (Figure 10).

2005 Yampa River drift net sampling data. In 2005, we captured 318 Colorado pikeminnow larvae (Figure 8). Mean TL of Colorado pikeminnow larvae is portrayed (Figure 10). Two large peaks in abundance of Colorado pikeminnow larvae were apparent in 2005, one from 14 to 16 July and another on 25 July (Figure 11).
2006 Yampa River drift net sampling data. In 2006, we captured a total of 679 Colorado pikeminnow larvae in 201 drift nets samples (Figure 8). This represents a recovery in abundance of Colorado pikeminnow larvae captured since 2003 to about median levels observed since 1990. Three large peaks were evident in 2006, the first and relatively early peak from 1-4 July, the second and largest from 12-15 July, and the third on 23 July. These total include diel samples collected on 3, 9, 11, 23, and 30 July (Figure 12). Lengths are not yet available for these larvae.

Although reported in project 115 report in 2006, it is worth noting here that 2 pikeminnow larvae were captured in the Green River in drift nets on 13 July 2006. A suspected razorback sucker captured during that sampling is also being verified at this time.

2007 Yampa River drift net sampling data. In 2007, we captured a total of 287 Colorado pikeminnow larvae in 219 drift nets samples (Figure 8). This represents a decline from 2006 but is slightly higher than in 2002 and 2003. Two large peaks in drift abundance were noted in 2007, one on 7 July and the other on 14 July, when a total of 205 larvae (71% of 2007 total) were captured (Fig. 13). Those were captured during diel sampling periods and most were collected at midnight. Lengths are not yet available for these larvae.

2008 Yampa River drift net sampling data. The first Colorado pikeminnow captured in 2008 was in late July. Late spawning by Colorado pikeminnow was due to relatively high and extended runoff and cooler water temperatures well into summer.

Temperature monitoring. Temperature differences between the Green and Yampa rivers in Echo Park are potentially important because of potential for cold shock of Colorado pikeminnow larvae drifting from the Yampa into the normally colder Green River. Warmer water temperatures in the Green River also increase the likelihood of spawning by rare native fishes upstream of the Yampa River. Temperature data gathered in the Green and Yampa rivers in Echo Park were compared to determine if temperature differences fall within recommended constraints that the Green be no more than about 5°C colder than the Yampa River (Muth et al. 2000). In 2000, water temperatures in the Green River were relatively cool and exceeded 20°C for only a couple of days. Average water temperature in the Green River from 1 June to 30 September was 17.0°C compared to 19.7°C in the Yampa River for the same period (Table 1). In 2001, water temperatures were near or exceeded 20°C from late June until mid-August. In 2002, summer water temperatures in the Green River upstream of the Yampa River were likely the highest observed since Flaming Gorge Reservoir filled. In 2003 water temperatures in the Green River in Lodore Canyon were also warm and exceeded 20°C on most days from early July through early September. In 2003, water temperatures were warm and the daily average generally exceeded 20°C from late June until late August. In 2004, water temperatures were slightly cooler, exceeding 20°C only from 10 July until about mid-August, a pattern similar
to that observed in 2005. In 2006 when Colorado pikeminnow spawned in the Green River upstream of the Yampa River water temperatures first exceeded 20°C on 20 June and remained warm through the end of July. Average summer water temperature in the Green River in Lodore Canyon in 2006 was the highest observed in the period since 2000, although the mean will likely drop with inclusion of data after 12 September.

In 2000 to 2006, average water temperatures in the Green River averaged about 17 to 19.5°C. Average summer water temperatures in the Yampa River from 2000 to 2006 were about 1.1 to 2.7°C warmer than the Green River during the same period. On only four days (twice in 2000, once in 2001 and once in 2002) did water temperatures exceed the recommended maximum summer difference of 5°C in the Green River at the confluence of the Yampa River. Only the 2 days in 2000 were during the period when Colorado pikeminnow were drifting downstream from the Yampa River, and those were in mid-August when drift had nearly ceased. Data to describe 2008 temperature differences between the Green and Yampa rivers in Echo Park were not yet available.

VII. Recommendations: Continue to sample early life stages of razorback sucker and Colorado pikeminnow annually at these sites. This information is critical to establishment of long-term data that can guide informed management decisions regarding population viability and recovery. Data were also used to monitor effects of Flaming Gorge flows and water temperatures in relation to endangered fish reproduction in spring and summer. This information can also be used to make real-time recommendations for flow and temperature regimes for Flaming Gorge Dam during the critical time of reproduction for endangered Colorado pikeminnow. The Recovery Program should increase funding for this project to cover costs for additional sample processing costs incurred for the Green River samples. Sampling may also need to be expanded to assess reproduction by razorback suckers in the Yampa River and potentially the Green River.

VIII. Project Status: On track and ongoing. This project was approved for funding in 2007 and perhaps beyond. That information, combined with more sophisticated water temperature data acquisition, should provide some tools for making flow and temperature recommendations to guide operation of Flaming Gorge Reservoir and to assist with fulfilling the Green River study plan.

IX. FY 2008 Budget Status

A. Funds Provided: $126,730
B. Funds Expended: $ 89,000
C. Difference: 37,730 remaining funds for sample analysis
D. Percent of the FY 2007 work completed, and projected costs to complete: About 80% complete.
E. Recovery Program funds spent for publication charges: None.

X. Status of Data Submission (Where applicable): Data will be submitted when identification and analysis is complete.
XI. Signed: Kevin R. Bestgen 14 Nov. 2008
Principal Investigator Date
(Just put name and date here, since you will be submitting the report electronically)

APPENDIX: [More comprehensive/final project reports (NOT to be used in place of a complete annual report.). If distributed previously, simply reference the document or report.]
Figure 1. Number of razorback sucker larvae captured from 1993 to 2006 in the middle Green River, Utah, in light traps.
Figure 2. Number of razorback sucker larvae captured in light trap sampling in the Green River, Utah, spring and summer 2004. May include substantial numbers of hatchery-reared larvae released for floodplain entrainment studies.

Figure 3. Mean TL of razorback sucker larvae captured in light trap sampling in the Green River, Utah, spring and summer 2004.
Figure 4. Number of razorback sucker larvae captured in light trap sampling in the Green River, Utah, spring and summer 2005. May include substantial numbers of hatchery-reared larvae released for floodplain entrainment studies.

Figure 5. Mean TL of razorback sucker larvae captured in light trap sampling in the Green River, Utah, spring and summer 2005.
Figure 6. Number of razorback sucker larvae captured in light trap sampling in the Green River, Utah, spring and summer 2006. May include substantial numbers of hatchery-reared larvae released for flood plain entainment studies.

Figure 7. Mean TL of razorback sucker larvae captured in light trap sampling in the Green River, Utah, spring and summer 2006.
Figure 8. Number of Colorado pikeminnow larvae captured from 1990 to 2007 (no sampling in 1997) in the lower Yampa River, Echo Park, Colorado, in drift nets set during all diel time periods.

Figure 9. Number of Colorado pikeminnow larvae captured in drift net samples in the Yampa River, Colorado, summer 2004.
Figure 10. Mean TL of Colorado pikeminnow larvae captured in drift net samples in the Yampa River, Colorado, in summer 2005.

Figure 11. Number of Colorado pikeminnow larvae captured in drift net samples in the Yampa River, Colorado, summer 2005.
Figure 12. Number of Colorado pikeminnow larvae captured in drift net samples in the Yampa River, Colorado, summer 2006.

Figure 13. Number of Colorado pikeminnow larvae captured in drift net samples in the Yampa River, Colorado, summer 2007.
Table 1. Average daily summer (1 June to 30 September) water temperature (maximum) of the Green and Yampa rivers, Echo Park, Dinosaur National Park, Colorado, 2000 to 2004. Number of days where temperature of the Green River was 5°C or more cooler than the Yampa River is also shown; days in the period when Colorado pikeminnow larvae were drifting from the Yampa River are shown parenthetically. The 2006 data were available only until 12 September. No 2007 data were available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Mean summer water temp C (maximum)</th>
<th>Number of days difference exceeded 5°C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Green River</td>
<td>Yampa River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>17.0 (20.7)</td>
<td>19.7 (24.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>19.0 (23.4)</td>
<td>20.5 (25.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>18.6 (24.5)</td>
<td>20.4 (25.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>18.7 (23.2)</td>
<td>20.1 (25.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>17.9 (21.9)</td>
<td>19.0 (24.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>17.1 (22.0)</td>
<td>18.8 (25.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006*</td>
<td>19.5 (23.7)</td>
<td>21.1 (25.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>