

COLORADO RIVER RECOVERY PROGRAM
FY 2009 PROPOSED SCOPE-OF-WORK for:
Nonnative fish control in the middle Green River

Project No.: _123b_

Lead Agency: UDWR

Submitted by: Trina Hedrick/Leisa Monroe
Utah Division of Wildlife Resources
Northeast Regional Office
152 East 100 North
Vernal, Utah 84078
Phone: 435-781-9453; Fax: 435-789-8343
E-mail: trinahedrick@utah.gov

Date: September 15, 2008

Category:

- Ongoing project
- Ongoing-revised project
- Requested new project
- Unsolicited proposal

Expected Funding Sources:

- Annual funds
- Capital funds
- Other (explain)

I. Title of Proposal:

Nonnative Fish Control in the middle Green River

II. Relationship to RIPRAP:

GENERAL RECOVERY PROGRAM SUPPORT ACTION PLAN

- III. Reduce negative impacts of nonnative fishes and sportfish management activities (nonnative and sportfish management).
- III.A. Reduce negative interactions between nonnative and endangered fishes.
 - III.A.2. Identify and implement viable active control measures.
 - III.A.2.c. Implement and evaluate the effectiveness of viable active control measures.

GREEN RIVER ACTION PLAN: MAINSTEM

- III. Reduce impacts of nonnative fishes and sportfish management activities (nonnative and sportfish management).
- III.A. Reduce negative impacts to endangered fishes from sportfish management activities.
 - III.A.4. Develop and implement control programs for nonnative fishes in river reaches occupied by the endangered fishes to identify required levels of control. Each control activity

will be evaluated for effectiveness, and then continued as needed.

III.A.4.a. Northern pike in the middle Green River.

III. Study Background/Rationale and Hypotheses:

The Upper Colorado River Endangered Fish Recovery Program has determined that control of nonnative fish in the upper Colorado River basin is essential to the recovery of the four endangered fish species: Colorado pikeminnow, razorback sucker, humpback chub, and bonytail. This determination has been documented specifically for Colorado pikeminnow, razorback sucker, and bonytail in nursery habitats and in the mainstem middle Green River in Section 4.3.2 of each species' Recovery Goals (USFWS 2002) document.

Smallmouth bass abundance has dramatically increased in the Green River since 2000. This increase resulted in a recommendation from the December 2003 Nonnative Fish Control Workshop (Grand Junction, CO) to attempt control of this species in the Green River. Three years of removal, from 2004-2006 and annual Nonnative Fish Control Workshops have added to the knowledge base of the effort required to successfully remove smallmouth bass from the Green River. During the December 2006 workshop, participants discussed the importance of increasing this removal effort and discussed the need for a dramatic increase to be able to adequately suppress the middle Green River smallmouth bass population.

Northern pike are a significant predatory and competitive threat to the endangered fishes and were rated as one of the six nonnative species of greatest concern by experts on the Colorado River native fish assemblage (Hawkins and Nesler 1991). Northern pike became established in the Yampa River in the early 1980's. Originally introduced as game fish in Elkhead Reservoir in 1977, the species escaped and invaded the upper Yampa River and have expanded their number and range within the Yampa and Green rivers (Tyus and Beard 1990). In previous years, there had been evidence of successful spawning in Stewart Lake near Jensen, Utah and in Old Charlie Wash on the Ouray National Wildlife Refuge (K. Christopherson, Division of Wildlife Northeastern Regional Supervisor, pers. comm.; T. Modde, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Project Leader, pers. comm.). A control program for northern pike in the Yampa River was initiated in 1999 and removal of northern pike in the middle Green River was initiated in 2001. Based on trends in catch rates over subsequent years, removal efforts have been successful at reducing the number of northern pike and maintaining this reduced level in the middle Green River. Control efforts since 2003 have resulted in the capture of less than 40 northern pike and as a result, total effort was reduced to only a minimal, preventative level beginning in 2005. Effort in 2009 is expected to be the minimal effort needed to keep their numbers under control. Northern pike populations will be monitored (and captured individuals removed) to locate ripe adults and to determine if this lower level of effort is sufficient to minimize threats to endangered and other native fishes.

White sucker are present in the middle Green River and seem to be increasing

over historical numbers (Division of Wildlife, unpublished data). The species is problematic due to its ability to hybridize with native suckers and to compete with native suckers for limited resources. In southwestern Missouri, white suckers become mature around 275 mm (Wakefield and Beckman 2005). Because of this, our goal for removing white suckers is to keep the white sucker population under 275 mm. This may not address their ability to compete with native suckers; however, it will prevent them from spawning with native suckers.

IV. Study Goals, Objectives, End Product:

Goal: Control smallmouth bass, northern pike, and white sucker populations in the middle Green River in order to sufficiently reduce the abundance of adults, thereby reducing their potential to spawn and their predatory and competitive impacts on the growth, recruitment, and survival of endangered and other native fishes is minimized.

Objectives:

1. Conduct one tagging pass and eleven removal passes for smallmouth bass in the middle Green River from Split Mountain boat ramp (RM 319.3) to the Duchesne River confluence (RM 247.9).
2. Maintain low occurrence of adult northern pike in the middle Green River.
3. Maintain low numbers and smaller sizes of white sucker in the middle Green River.
4. Determine efficiency of smallmouth bass, northern pike, and white sucker removal efforts.
5. Calculate an annual population estimate of smallmouth bass in the middle Green River.
6. Identify the means and levels of smallmouth bass and northern pike control necessary to minimize the threat of predation/competition on endangered and other native fishes.

V. Study Area:

The study area encompasses the middle Green River from Split Mountain boat ramp (RM 319.3) to the Duchesne River confluence (RM 247.9). UDWR – Vernal will double tag (to evaluate anchor tag retention) smallmouth bass from the Split Mountain boat ramp to the Duchesne River confluence once during the first pass and remove smallmouth bass during passes two through twelve. This section of river is a total of 71.4 miles. UDWR – Vernal will also sample off channel habitats for northern pike and white sucker immediately after ice-off to document spawning and remove any ripe adults. When feasible, crew members

from UDWR – Vernal will assist UDWR – Moab and USFWS – CRFP with efforts between Echo Park (RM 345) and Split Mountain (RM 319.3). All nonnative fish encountered during sampling will be removed from the river (except small-bodied cyprinids, carp, and catfish).

VI. Study Methods/Approach:

Smallmouth bass will be removed primarily by electrofishing. Sampling crews will conduct removal activities in a manner that minimizes potential negative impacts to endangered fish as a result of electrofishing activities. This includes discontinuing electrofishing when elevated numbers of endangered fish are known to be present. Situations when this is likely to occur will be when Colorado pikeminnow are staging in tributary mouths or backwater habitats prior to spawning, when razorback sucker are on and near the spawning bar and following recent stocking of endangered fish.

Twelve electrofishing passes will be conducted beginning in June. Two electrofishing boats will simultaneously electrofish each shoreline of the river. Effort will be focused on shoreline habitat that is likely to contain smallmouth bass. All smallmouth bass will be marked with a FLOY anchor tag and a left pectoral fin clip. GPS locations and fish lengths and weights will be recorded on each pass. Beginning on the second pass, all smallmouth bass will be removed and examined for tags or marks and also for ripeness. All collected smallmouth bass will be taken to the UDWR – Vernal Game Farm for disposal.

Results of the first two passes will allow the determination of a smallmouth bass abundance estimate using the Lincoln-Peterson approach. The first two passes may also serve to identify smallmouth bass concentration areas as well as concentrations of spawning fish. These areas will receive additional electrofishing effort in subsequent passes. If ripe fish or nesting males are encountered, additional effort will be spent at that time to capture other potential spawning or nesting fish in that area. Further effort may also give an indication as to the presence of young-of-year (YOY) bass. Locations of congregations of YOY bass will be noted and these areas will receive additional electrofishing effort as well in order to displace young-of-year bass. We do not normally see a large number of YOY bass during project #144, Native Fish Response; however, this project will continue in 2009 and all YOY smallmouth bass will be recorded, measured, and removed from the backwater. Any endangered fish captured will be scanned for a PIT tag, tagged if needed, weighed (g), measured TL (mm), and released alive.

Two methods will be used in an attempt to identify bass spawning periods and locations. First, crews will examine shoreline areas for nests and destroy any found; and second, all bass captured will be examined for spawning condition.

Known concentration areas for northern pike in the middle Green River during spring include: the mouth of Brush Creek (RM 304.5), Cliff Creek (RM 302.9), Stewart Lake Drain (RM 300.0), Ashley Creek (RM 299.0) and Sportsman Drain

(RM 296.6). These areas will be targeted for sampling. White sucker also congregate in these areas in early spring. Removal will primarily be done with the use of fyke nets, but will also include trammel nets and electrofishing. Each of these methods has its issues and we will be ready to change methods depending on whether difficulties arise (i.e., otters in the fyke nets). All northern pike will be taken to the UDWR – Vernal lab for viewing of stomach contents (not a true analysis, just anecdotal observation), determination of ripeness, and subsequent disposal. Any endangered fish captured will be scanned for a PIT tag, tagged if needed, weighed (g), measured TL (mm), and released alive.

Nonnative removal and evaluation efforts, which includes tagging and marking of endangered and target nonnative fishes, are also being conducted by other researchers and agencies in other reaches of the Green and Yampa Rivers. Therefore, sampling crews will examine all captured endangered and target nonnative fish for tags or marks and record pertinent information. This information will then be reported to principal investigators as appropriate and included in annual reporting.

Besides the targeted smallmouth bass and northern pike, other nonnative species may be encountered and removed. These include walleye, black crappie, bluegill, gizzard shad, green sunfish and potentially burbot. These fish will also be taken back to the UDWR – Vernal Game Farm for disposal.

VII. Task Description and Schedule:

Task 1. Capture and remove northern pike and white sucker (UDWR – Vernal; March – May 2009).

Task 2. Twelve smallmouth bass collecting passes from Split Mountain boat ramp to Duchesne River (UDWR – Vernal; June– November 2009).

Task 3. Data entry, analysis, and reporting – October/November 2009.

VIII. FY 2009 Work:

Deliverables/Due Dates

Recovery Program annual progress report: November 2009. Data will be presented for all years of the study within each annual report.

Budget:

Task 1. Capture and remove northern pike and white sucker (UDWR – Vernal).

	Work days	UDWR-Vernal Cost
Labor		
Technician (\$195/day)	64	12480
Biologist (\$340/day)	32	10880
Leader (\$438/day)	2	876
Subtotal		\$24,236

Travel		
1 truck (10% of use)*		680
Per diem		
(3 people/day x \$15/person x 15 days/trip)		0
	Subtotal	\$680
Equipment		
One new lower unit		1000
Computers (3 x \$170/mo), rent, etc.		3020
		<hr/>
	Task 1 Total	\$28,936.00

*The State of Utah went to Automotive Resources Inc. for motor pool operations. We now pay substantially more in vehicle costs. It is now easier to calculate the percent of total annual usage that each project requires and multiple that percent by the total annual cost. This will be the new method we use to allocate vehicle costs to each project.

Task 2. Twelve smallmouth bass collecting passes from Split Mountain boat ramp to the Duchesne River (UDWR – Vernal). One mark and eleven removal passes.

	Work days	UDWR-Vernal Cost
Labor		
Technician (\$195/day)	286	55770
Biologist (\$340/day)	103	35020
Leader (\$438/day)	10	4380
	Subtotal	\$95,170
Travel*		
1 truck (74% of annual use)		4770
1 truck (54% of annual use)		3932
1 truck (62% of annual use)		4220
Per diem		
(4 people/day x \$15/person x 4 days/trip x 12 trips)		2880
	Subtotal	\$15,802
Equipment		
One new motor, 2 new lower units, 20 new props, and some miscellaneous repair supplies		11750
Computers (3 x \$170/mo), rent, etc.		15100
		<hr/>
	Task 2 Total	\$137,822.00

* See above note for explanation of how this was calculated. The percentages may seem random; however, they are not. They are based on the different vehicles we use for this project and how much they are used for this project relative to our other projects. This is based on our first year with ARI; however, it is not likely to change much over the next few years. For example, the seasonal vehicle is used predominantly during this project. It

is the vehicle with 74% of its annual use in this project. The vehicle with 54% of its use for this project was used very heavily during the razorback recruitment project and therefore, less of its mileage was for this project. It's a different way to calculate costs; however, we think it is more accurate than the other method.

Task 3. Data entry, analysis and reporting. UDWR - Vernal

	Work days	UDWR-Vernal Cost
Data Entry		
Technician (\$195/day)	25	4875
Biologist (\$340/day)	15	5100
Leader (\$438/day)		
Report Prep		
Biologist (\$340/day)	25	8500
Leader (\$438/day)	4	1752
Task 3 Total		\$20,227.00
FY 2009 TOTAL		
UDWR – Vernal		\$186,985.00

IX. Program Budget Summary

UDWR-Vernal
FY 2009 \$186,985.00

X. Reviewers

XI. References

Hawkins, J.A., and T.P. Nesler. 1991. Nonnative fishes of the upper Colorado River Basin: an issue paper. Final Report of Colorado State University Larval Fish Laboratory To Upper Colorado River Endangered Fish Recovery Program, Denver, Colorado.

Tyus, H.M., and Beard, J.M., 1990. *Esox lucius* (Esocidae) and *Stizostedion vitreum* (Percidae) in the Green River basin, Colorado and Utah. Great Basin Naturalist 50(1): 33-39.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2002. Colorado pikeminnow (*Ptychocheilus lucius*) recovery goals: amendment and supplement to the humpback chub recovery plan. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Mountain-Prairie Region (6), Denver, Colorado.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2002. Razorback sucker (*Xyrauchen texanus*) recovery goals: amendment and supplement to the humpback chub recovery plan.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Mountain-Prairie Region (6), Denver, Colorado.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2002. Bonytail (*Gila elegans*) recovery goals: amendment and supplement to the humpback chub recovery plan. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Mountain-Prairie Region (6), Denver, Colorado.

Wakefield, C.K. and D.W. Beckman. 2005. Life history attributes of white sucker (*Catostomus commersonii*) in Lake Taneycomo and associated tributaries in southwestern Missouri. *The Southwestern Naturalist* 50:423-434.

